

Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

General Information**Directors**

Albert Matter
Roger Steininger
Petra Decher
David Awram
Arv Gupta

Company Secretary

Gregory Chu

Registered Office

Suite 650
1188 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6E 4A2

Solicitor

Gregory T Chu Law Corp
Suite 650
1188 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6E 4A2

Auditor

DeVisser Gray LLP
Suite 401
905 West Pender Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6C 1L6

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders of NuLegacy Gold Corporation,

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of NuLegacy Gold Corporation and its subsidiary ("the Company"), which comprise the consolidated statements of financial position as at March 31, 2012, March 31, 2011 and April 1, 2010, and the consolidated statements of comprehensive loss, changes in equity and cash flows for the years ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of NuLegacy Gold Corporation and its subsidiary as at March 31, 2012, March 31, 2011 and April 1, 2010 and their financial performance and their cash flows for the years ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.



CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

Vancouver, Canada
July 25, 2012

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	Note	Years ended March 31,	
		2012	2011
Operating expenses:			
Amortization	7	\$ 3,346	\$ 697
Bank charges		2,726	984
Consulting	10	313,064	233,917
Dues and subscriptions		6,017	3,502
Insurance		24,182	10,663
Interest		16	561
Investor relations	10	292,021	17,624
Office		109,088	29,091
Printing and reproduction		16,160	23,864
Professional fees		142,059	148,075
Regulatory and transfer agent		52,040	43,928
Rent		47,984	3,270
Telecommunication		5,701	2,407
Travel and accomodation		26,977	49,819
Share based payments	11	721,168	563,017
		1,762,549	1,131,419
Other income (loss):			
Foreign exchange (loss) gain		29,429	(75,142)
Property write off		(40,372)	-
Receivables write off		-	(11,107)
Interest and other income		1,667	91
		(9,276)	(86,158)
Net loss and comprehensive loss		\$ (1,771,825)	\$ (1,217,577)
Basic and diluted loss per share amounts	11(f)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)
Weighted average common shares outstanding		47,981,087	32,015,886

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	Note	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011	April 1, 2010
			(Note 16)	(Note 16)
Assets				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	6	\$ 2,090,862	\$ 3,476,786	\$ 1,291,960
Receivables		25,902	44,804	7,969
Prepaid expenses and deposits		230,718	84,574	-
Other financial assets	13	15,000	-	-
		2,362,482	3,606,164	1,299,929
Non-current assets:				
Equipment	7	15,264	3,488	-
Exploration and evaluation assets	8	3,414,442	1,107,893	249,589
Other assets		-	-	40,000
		\$ 5,792,188	\$ 4,717,545	\$ 1,589,518
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity				
Current liabilities:				
Trade and other payables	9	\$ 243,060	\$ 79,987	\$ 137,385
		243,060	79,987	137,385
Shareholders' equity:				
Share capital	11	4,893,958	4,367,064	1,760,626
Warrants reserve	11	2,518,880	1,233,547	-
Share option reserve	11	1,284,185	563,017	-
Share subscriptions payable		150,000	-	-
Accumulated deficit		(3,297,895)	(1,526,070)	(308,493)
		5,549,128	4,637,558	1,452,133
		\$ 5,792,188	\$ 4,717,545	\$ 1,589,518

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Approved by the board on July 18, 2012.

"Albert J. Matter"
Director

"Roger C. Steininger"
Director

Operations (Note 1)
Going concern (Note 2)

Basis of preparation (Note 2)
Capital commitments and other contingencies (Note 8)

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	Note	Number of shares	Share capital	Share subscriptions payable	Warrants	Share option reserve	Accumulated deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Total
Balances, April 1, 2010		26,985,001	\$ 1,760,626	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (308,493)	\$ -	\$ 1,452,133
Shares issued, acquisition of mineral properties	11	100,000	22,250	-	-	-	-	-	22,250
Shares issued, private placement, net of issue costs	11	6,890,500	1,289,207	-	556,966	-	-	-	1,846,173
Shares issued, exercise of special warrants, net of issue costs	11	5,573,750	718,646	-	390,104	-	-	-	1,108,750
Shares issued, initial public offering, net of issue costs	11	4,800,000	630,271	-	232,541	-	-	-	862,812
Warrants issued upon repurchase of shares	11	-	(53,936)	-	53,936	-	-	-	-
Share based payments	11	-	-	-	-	563,017	-	-	563,017
Net loss		-	-	-	-	-	(1,217,577)	-	(1,217,577)
Balances, March 31, 2011		44,349,251	4,367,064	-	1,233,547	563,017	(1,526,070)	-	4,637,558
Shares issued, acquisition of mineral properties		100,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	20,000
Shares issued, private placement, net of issue costs	11	12,500,000	506,894	150,000	1,285,333	-	-	-	1,942,227
Share based payments	11	-	-	-	-	721,168	-	-	721,168
Net loss		-	-	-	-	-	(1,771,825)	-	(1,771,825)
Balances, March 31, 2012		56,949,251	\$ 4,893,958	\$ 150,000	\$ 2,518,880	\$ 1,284,185	\$ (3,297,895)	\$ -	\$ 5,549,128

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	Years ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,771,825)	\$ (1,217,577)
Adjustments for:		
Amortization	3,346	697
Property write off	40,372	-
Receivables write off	-	11,107
Share based payments	721,168	563,017
Receivables	18,902	(47,942)
Prepaid expenses and deposits	(146,144)	(84,574)
Trade and other payables	163,073	35,368
Cash flow for operating activities	(971,108)	(739,904)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common shares, net of issue costs	1,792,227	3,817,735
Other assets	-	40,000
Increase in subscriptions payable	150,000	-
Cash flow from financing activities	1,942,227	3,857,735
Investing activities:		
Purchase of equipment	(15,122)	(4,185)
Purchase of available-for-sale financial assets	(15,000)	-
Mineral property exploration costs	(2,326,921)	(928,820)
Cash flow for investing activities	(2,357,043)	(933,005)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,385,924)	2,184,826
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	3,476,786	1,291,960
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 2,090,862	\$ 3,476,786
Non cash items:		
Shares issued for the acquisition of the Half Ounce property	\$ -	\$ 6,250
Shares issued for the acquisition of the Coal Canyon property	\$ -	\$ 16,000
Shares issued for the acquisition of the Idaho property	\$ 20,000	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

1. Corporate information:

NuLegacy Gold Corporation (the "Company" or the "Group") is a publicly listed entity on the TSX Venture Exchange and incorporated under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. The Company's principal business activity is the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties. Its principal mineral property interests are located in Nevada, USA.

The business of mining and exploring for minerals involves a high degree of risk and there can be no assurance that current exploration programs will result in profitable mining operations. The Group's mineral property interests are located outside of Canada and are subject to the risks associated with foreign investment, including increases in taxes and royalties, renegotiations of contracts, currency exchange fluctuations and political uncertainty. Although the Group has taken steps to verify title to the properties on which it is conducting exploration and in which it has an interest, these procedures do not guarantee the Group's title. Property title may be subject to unregistered prior agreements and non-compliance with regulatory requirements.

The ability of the Company to realize its assets and discharge its financial obligations and commitments is dependent upon the ability of the Company to source appropriate exploration properties satisfactory to its investors and, thereafter, upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, maintain interest in such properties, obtain the necessary financing to search and acquire and meet exploration commitments on the properties and upon future profitable operations, or proceeds from the disposition of the properties.

These Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which assumes the Company will be able to realize assets and discharge liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. These Consolidated Financial Statements do not include any adjustments that would be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

2. Basis of preparation:

(a) Statement of compliance:

The consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiary have been prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard ("IAS") 1 Presentation of Financial Statements ("IAS 1"), using accounting policies that are consistent and in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and that are in effect at March 31, 2012.

These consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are the Company's first annual IFRS financial statements. Previously, the consolidated financial statements of the Company were prepared according to Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("Canadian GAAP") in effect before the changeover to IFRS. Accordingly, the Company has applied IFRS 1, First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS 1") when preparing these financial statements.

The adoption of IFRS resulted in changes to the accounting policies as compared with the most recent previously reported annual financial statements prepared under Canadian GAAP for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently to all periods presented. They also have been applied in the preparation of an opening IFRS statement of financial position as at April 1, 2010, as required by IFRS 1. Note 16 explains how the transition to IFRS affected the Company's reported financial positions, results and cash flows.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis, except for cash and cash equivalents and other financial instruments classified as fair value through profit or loss or available-for-sale that have been measured at fair value. The consolidated financial statements are presented in Canadian dollars and all values are rounded to the nearest dollar except where otherwise indicated.

These consolidated financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2012, including the comparative amounts, were approved and authorized for issue by the board of directors on July 18, 2012.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

2. Basis of preparation (continued)

(b) Going Concern:

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis that the Company is a going concern, which contemplates the realization of its assets and the settlement of its liabilities in the normal course of operations. However, the Company currently has no significant sources of revenue and has experienced recurring losses. At March 31, 2012, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$3,297,895. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on the Company's ability to obtain additional debt or equity financing to successfully advance the exploration and development of mineral property interests in its exploration portfolio and/or to be able to derive material proceeds from the sale or divestiture of those properties and/or other assets such as royalty rights and equity interests. There is a risk that additional financing will not be available on a timely basis or on terms acceptable to the Company. These consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to the amounts and classification of assets and liabilities that may be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern. Such adjustments and classifications could be material.

(c) Basis of Consolidation:

These Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary NuLegacy Gold N.V., which is incorporated in Nevada, USA. Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date of acquisition, being the date on which the Company obtains control, and continue to be consolidated until the date when such control ceases. The financial statements of the subsidiary are prepared for the same reporting period as the parent company, using consistent accounting policies. Inter-company balances and transactions, including any unrealized income and expenses arising from Inter-company transactions, are eliminated in preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(d) Standards issued or amended but not yet effective:

Standards issued but not yet effective up to the date of issuance of the Company's financial statements are listed below. This listing is of standards and interpretations issued, which the Company reasonably expects to be applicable at a future date. The Company intends to adopt those standards when they become effective. The Company does not expect the impact of such changes on the financial statements to be material.

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments

IFRS 9 as issued reflects the first phase of the IASBs work on the replacement of IAS 39 and applies to classification and measurement of financial assets and fair value measurement of financial liabilities to address own credit risk. The standard is effective for years beginning on or after January 1, 2015. The adoption of the first phase of IFRS 9 will have an effect on the classification and measurement of the Company's financial assets. The Company will quantify the effect in conjunction with the other phases, when issued, to present a comprehensive picture.

IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements

IFRS 10 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The standard replaces IAS 27 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements and SIC-12 Consolidation—Special Purpose Entities. The Standard establishes principles for the presentation and preparation of consolidated financial statements when an entity controls one or more other entities and redefines "control" more concisely. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have any impact on the financial statements of the Company, nor to redefine current relationships as "controlled".

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

2. Basis of preparation (continued)

IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements

IFRS 11 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The standard supersedes IAS 31 Interests in Joint Ventures and SIC-13 Jointly Controlled Entities—Non-Monetary Contributions by Venturers. The standard addresses the definition of a joint arrangement and establishes principles that are applicable to the accounting for all joint arrangements. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have any impact on the financial statements of the Company.

IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 12 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The IFRS requires an entity to disclose information that enables users of financial statements to evaluate the nature of, and risks associated with, its interests in other entities; and the effects of those interests on its financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The standard integrates and makes consistent the disclosure requirements for subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated structured entities, previously required under IAS 27 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements, IAS 28 Investments in Associates and IAS 31 Interests in Joint Ventures. The adoption of this standard is expected to require additional disclosures regarding the nature of ownership of the Company's interest in its subsidiaries, but has yet to be determined by management.

IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement

IFRS 13 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The standard combines in a single standard the definition of fair value, thus improving consistency, sets out a framework for measurement of fair value, and outlines the disclosure requirements for items measured at fair value. Management is assessing the impact of adoption of this standard on the Company.

IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements

Amendment to IAS 1 is effective for years beginning on or after July 1, 2012. The amendment to IAS 1 improves how components of other comprehensive income are presented. Management is assessing the impact of adoption of this standard on the Company.

3. Significant accounting judgments, estimates and assumptions:

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the application of accounting policies and the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities, income and expenses. Estimates and assumptions are continuously evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectation of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

3. Significant accounting judgments, estimates and assumptions (continued):

Information about significant areas of estimation uncertainty considered by management in preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements is described below:

(a) Reserve and resource estimates:

Reserves are estimates of the amount of ore that can be economically and legally extracted from the Company's mining properties. The Company does not have any currently defined reserves. The Company estimates its mineral resources based on information compiled by appropriately qualified persons relating to the geological data on the size, depth and shape of the mineralized body, and requires complex geological judgments to interpret the data. The estimation of recoverable reserves is based upon factors such as estimates of foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, future capital requirements, and production costs along with geological assumptions and judgments made in estimating the size and grade of the mineralized body.

Changes in resource estimates may impact upon the carrying value of exploration and evaluation assets, mine properties, property, plant and equipment, recognition of deferred tax assets, and depreciation and amortisation charges.

(b) Exploration and evaluation expenditure:

The application of the Company's accounting policy for exploration and evaluation expenditure requires judgment in determining whether it is likely that future economic benefits are likely either from future exploitation or sale or where activities have not reached a stage which permits a reasonable assessment of the existence of reserves. The determination of a resource is itself an estimation process that requires varying degrees of uncertainty depending on sub-classification and these estimates directly impact the point of deferral of exploration and evaluation expenditure. The deferral policy requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions about future events or circumstances, in particular whether an economically viable extraction operation can be established. Estimates and assumptions made may change if new information becomes available. If, after expenditure is capitalised, information becomes available suggesting that the recovery of expenditure is unlikely, the amount capitalised is written off in profit or loss in the period when the new information becomes available.

(c) Impairment of assets:

The Company assesses each cash generating unit annually to determine whether any indication of impairment exists. Where an indicator of impairment exists, a formal estimate of the recoverable amount is made, which is considered to be the higher of the fair value less costs to sell and value in use. These assessments require the use of estimates and assumptions such as long-term commodity prices, discount rates, future capital requirements, exploration potential and operating performance. Fair value is determined as the amount that would be obtained from the sale of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties. Fair value for mineral assets is generally determined as the present value of estimated future cash flows arising from the continued use of the asset, which includes estimates such as the cost of future expansion plans and eventual disposal, using assumptions that an independent market participant may take into account. Cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. Management has assessed its cash generating units as being an individual mine site, which is the lowest level for which cash inflows are largely independent of those of other assets.

(d) Contingencies:

By their nature, contingencies will only be resolved when one or more uncertain future events occur or fail to occur. The assessment of contingencies inherently involves the exercise of significant judgment and estimates of the outcome of future events.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

3. Significant accounting judgments, estimates and assumptions (continued):

(e) Recovery of deferred tax assets:

Judgment is required in determining whether deferred tax assets are recognised on the statement of financial position. Deferred tax assets, including those arising from unutilised tax losses, require management to assess the likelihood that the Company will generate taxable earnings in future periods, in order to utilise recognised deferred tax assets. Estimates of future taxable income are based on forecast cash flows from operations and the application of existing tax laws in each jurisdiction. To the extent that future cash flows and taxable income differ significantly from estimates, the ability of the Company to realise the net deferred tax assets recorded at the reporting date could be impacted. Additionally, future changes in tax laws in the jurisdictions in which the Company operates could limit the ability of the Company to obtain tax deductions in future periods.

(f) Fair value hierarchy:

Where the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities recorded in the statement of financial position cannot be derived from active markets, their fair value is determined using valuation techniques including the discounted cash flow model. The inputs to these models are taken from observable markets where possible, but where this is not feasible, a degree of judgment is required in establishing fair values. The judgments include considerations of inputs such as liquidity risk, credit risk and volatility. Changes in assumptions about these factors could affect the reported fair value of financial instruments.

4. Summary of significant accounting policies:

(a) Business Combinations:

Acquisition of subsidiaries and businesses are accounted for using the acquisition method. The cost of the business combination is measured as the aggregate of the fair values (at the date of exchange) of assets given, liabilities incurred or assumed, and equity instruments issued by the Company in exchange for control of the acquired entity or acquiree, plus any costs directly attributable to the business combination. The acquiree's identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities that meet the conditions for recognition under IFRS 3 are recognized at their fair values at the acquisition date, except for non-current assets (or disposal companies) that are classified as held for sale in accordance with IFRS 5, *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*, which are recognized and measured at fair value less costs to sell.

Goodwill arising on acquisition is recognized as an asset and initially measured at cost, being the excess of the cost of the business combination over the Company's interest in the net fair value of the identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities recognized. If, after reassessment, the Company's interest in the net fair value of the acquiree's identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities exceeds the cost of the business combination, the excess is recognized immediately in profit or loss in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income or loss.

The interest of non-controlling shareholders in the acquiree is initially measured at the non-controlling shareholder's proportion of the net fair value of the assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities recognized.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

4. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued):

(b) Foreign Currencies:

The Company's presentation currency is the Canadian dollar ("C\$"). The functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar.

The Company has determined that the functional currency of its subsidiary is the Canadian dollar.

In preparing the financial statements of the individual entities, transactions in currencies other than the entity's functional currency (foreign currencies) are recorded at the rates of exchange prevailing at the dates of the transactions. At each statement of financial position date, monetary assets and liabilities are translated using the period end foreign exchange rate. Non-monetary assets and liabilities are translated using the historical rate on the date of the transaction. Non-monetary assets and liabilities that are stated at fair value are translated using the historical rate on the date that the fair value was determined.

(c) Financial assets:

Financial assets are classified into one of four categories:

- Fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL");
- Held-to-maturity ("HTM");
- Available for sale ("AFS"); and,
- Loans and receivables.

The classification is determined at initial recognition and depends on the nature and purpose of the financial asset.

(i) FVTPL financial assets:

Financial assets are classified as FVTPL when the financial asset is held for trading or it is designed as FVTPL.

A financial asset is classified as held for trading if:

- It has been acquired principally for the purpose of selling in the near future;
- It is a part of an identified portfolio of financial instruments that the Company manages and has an actual pattern of short-term profit-taking; or
- It is a derivative that is not designated and effective as a hedging instrument

Financial assets classified as FVTPL are stated at fair value with any resultant gain or loss recognized in profit or loss. The net gain or loss recognized incorporates any dividend or interest earned on the financial asset.

The Company's cash and cash equivalents are classified as FVTPL.

(ii) AFS financial assets:

Available for sale financial assets are stated at fair value. Gains and losses arising from changes in fair value are recognized directly in equity in the investment revaluation reserve. Impairment losses, interest calculated using the effective interest method and foreign exchange gains and losses on monetary assets, are recognized directly in profit or loss rather than equity. When an investment is disposed of or is determined to be impaired, the cumulative gain or loss previously recognized in the investment revaluation reserve is included in profit or loss for the period.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

4. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued):

(c) Financial assets (continued):

The fair value of AFS monetary assets denominated in a foreign currency is translated at the spot rate at the statement of financial position date. The change in fair value attributable to translation difference due to a change in amortized cost of the asset is recognized in profit or loss, while all other changes are recognized in equity. The Company's other assets are classified as AFS.

(iii) Held-to-maturity investments:

Investments are recognized on a trade-date basis and are initially measured at fair value, including transaction costs.

(iv) Loans and receivables:

Trade receivables, loans and other receivables that have fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market are classified as loans and receivables.

Loans and receivables are initially recognized at the transaction value and subsequently carried at amortized cost less impairment losses. The impairment loss of receivables is based on a review of all outstanding amounts at year end. Bad debts are written off during the year in which they are identified. Interest income is recognized by applying the effective interest rate, except for short-term receivables when the recognition of interest would be immaterial.

The Company has classified its receivables as loans and receivables.

(v) Effective interest method:

The effective interest method calculates the amortized cost of a financial asset and allocates interest income over the corresponding period. The effective interest rate is the rate that discounts estimated future cash receipts over the expected life of the financial asset, or, where appropriate, a short period. Income is recognized on an effective interest basis for debt instruments other than those financial assets classified as FVTPL.

(vi) Impairment of financial assets:

Financial assets, other than those at FVTPL, are assessed for indicators of impairment at each period end. Financial assets are impaired when there is objective evidence that, as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the financial asset, the estimated future cash flows of the investment have been impacted.

Objective evidence of impairment could include the following:

- Significant financial difficulty of the issuer or counterparty;
- Default or delinquency in interest or principal payments; or
- It has become probable that the borrower will enter bankruptcy or financial reorganization.

For financial assets carried at amortized cost, the amount of the impairment is the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows, discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate.

The carrying amount of all financial assets, excluding trade receivables, is directly reduced by the impairment loss. The carrying amount of trade receivable is reduced through the use of an allowance account. When a trade receivable is considered uncollectible, it is written off against the allowance account. Subsequent recoveries of amounts previously written off are credited against the allowance account. Changes in the carrying amount of the allowance account are recognized in profit or loss.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

4. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued):

(c) Financial assets (continued):

With the exception of AFS equity instruments, if, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease relates to an event occurring after the impairment was recognized, the previously recognized impairment loss is reversed through profit or loss. On the date of impairment reversal, the carrying amount of the financial asset cannot exceed its amortized cost had impairment not been recognized.

(vii) De-recognition of financial assets:

A financial asset is derecognized when:

- The contractual right to the asset's cash flows expire; or
- If the Company transfers the financial asset and all risks and rewards of ownership to another entity.

(d) Financial liabilities and equity:

Debt and equity instruments are classified as either financial liabilities or as equity in accordance with the substance of the contractual arrangement.

An equity instrument is any contract that evidences a residual interest in the assets of an entity after deducting all of its liabilities. Equity instruments issued by the Company are recorded at the proceeds received, net of direct issue costs.

Financial liabilities are classified as either financial liabilities at FVTPL or other financial liabilities.

(i) Other financial liabilities:

Other financial liabilities are initially measured at fair value, net of transaction costs, and are subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, with interest expense recognized on an effective yield basis.

The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortized cost of a financial liability and of allocating interest expenses over the corresponding period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments over the expected life of the financial liability, or, where appropriate, a shorter period.

The Company has classified trade and other payables as other financial liabilities.

(ii) De-recognition of financial liabilities:

The Company derecognizes financial liabilities when, and only when, the Company's obligations are discharged, cancelled or they expire.

(e) Interest income:

Interest income is recognized in the income statement as it accrues, using the effective interest method.

(f) Cash and cash equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash at banks and on hand, and short term deposits with an original maturity of three months or less, which are readily convertible into a known amount of cash.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

4. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued):

(g) Receivables:

Trade receivables are recognized at the amounts due for settlement no more than 90 days from the date of recognition. The collectability of trade receivables is reviewed on an ongoing basis. Accounts which are known to be uncollectible are written off. A provision for impairment is recorded when there is evidence that the Company will not be able to collect fully the amounts due.

(h) Mineral exploration, evaluation and development expenditure:

(i) Pre-license costs:

Pre-license costs are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

(ii) Exploration and evaluation costs:

Once the legal right to explore has been acquired, exploration and evaluation expenditure is charged to profit or loss as incurred, unless the directors conclude that a future economic benefit is more likely than not to be realised. These costs include materials and fuel used, surveying costs, drilling costs and payments made to contractors. In evaluating if expenditures meet the criteria to be capitalised, several different sources of information are utilised. The information that is used to determine the probability of future benefits depends on the extent of exploration and evaluation that has been performed.

Exploration and evaluation expenditure incurred on licences where a resource has not yet been established is expensed as incurred until sufficient evaluation has occurred in order to establish a resource. Costs expensed during this phase are included in 'exploration expenditure' in profit or loss.

Upon the establishment of a resource (at which point, the Company considers it probable that economic benefits will be realised), the Company capitalises any further evaluation costs incurred for the particular licence to exploration and evaluation assets up to the point when a reserve is established.

Exploration and evaluation assets acquired in a business combination are initially recognised at fair value. They are subsequently measured at cost less accumulated impairment. Once reserves are established and development is sanctioned, exploration and evaluation assets are tested for impairment and transferred to 'Mines under construction'. No amortisation is charged during the exploration and evaluation phase.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

4. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued):

(i) Property, plant and equipment and mine properties ("PPE"):

Upon completion of mine construction, the assets are transferred into property, plant and equipment or mine properties. Items of property, plant and equipment and mine properties are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses.

The initial cost of an asset comprises its purchase price or construction cost, any costs directly attributable to bringing the asset into operation, the initial estimate of the rehabilitation obligation, and for qualifying assets, borrowing costs. The purchase price or construction cost is the aggregate amount paid and the fair value of any other consideration given to acquire the asset. The capitalised value of a finance lease is also included within property, plant and equipment.

Accumulated mine development costs are depreciated/amortised on a unit-of-production basis over the economically recoverable reserves of the mine concerned, except in the case of assets whose useful life is shorter than the life of the mine, in which case the straight-line method is applied. The unit of account for run of mines (ROM) costs are tonnes of ore whereas the unit of account for post-ROM costs are recoverable ounces of gold. Rights and concessions are depleted on the unit-of-production basis over the total reserves of the relevant area. The unit-of-production rate for the depreciation/amortisation of mine development costs takes into account expenditures incurred to date, together with sanctioned future development expenditure.

The premium paid in excess of the intrinsic value of land to gain access is amortised over the life of mine.

Depreciation for other plant and equipment is provided at rates calculated to write off the cost, less their estimated residual value, using the straight line method over the following expected useful lives:

- Equipment 2 years

An item of PPE is derecognized upon disposal, when held for sale or when no future economic benefits are expected to arise from the continued use of the asset. Any gain or loss arising on disposal of the asset, determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount of the asset, is recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Loss.

The Company conducts an annual assessment of the residual balances, useful lives and depreciation methods being used for PPE and any changes arising from the assessment are applied by the Company prospectively.

Expenditure on major maintenance refits or repairs comprises the cost of replacement assets or parts of assets and overhaul costs. Where an asset or part of an asset that was separately depreciated and is now written off is replaced, and it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the item will flow to the Company through an extended life, the expenditure is capitalised.

Where part of the asset was not separately considered as a component, the replacement value is used to estimate the carrying amount of the replaced assets which is immediately written off. All other day to day maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

4. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued):

(j) Impairment of non-financial assets:

The Company assesses at each reporting date whether there is an indication that an asset may be impaired. If any indication exists, or when annual impairment testing for an asset is required, the Company estimates the asset's recoverable amount. An asset's recoverable amount is the higher of an asset's or cash-generating unit's (CGU) fair value less costs to sell and its value in use and is determined for an individual asset, unless the asset does not generate cash inflows that are largely independent of those from other assets or groups of assets, in which case the asset is tested as part of a larger CGU. Where the carrying amount of an asset or CGU exceeds its recoverable amount, the asset is considered impaired and is written down to its recoverable amount. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. In determining fair value less costs to sell, recent market transactions are taken into account, if available. If no such transactions can be identified, an appropriate valuation model is used. These calculations are corroborated by valuation multiples, quoted share prices for publicly traded subsidiaries or other available fair value indicators.

The Company bases its impairment calculation on detailed budgets and forecast calculations which are prepared separately for each of the Company's cash-generating units to which the individual assets are allocated. These budgets and forecast calculations are generally covering a period of five years. For longer periods, a long-term growth rate is calculated and applied to project future cash flows after the fifth year.

Impairment losses of continuing operations, including impairment of inventories, are recognised in profit or loss in those expense categories consistent with the function of the impaired asset, except for a property previously revalued where the revaluation was taken to other comprehensive income. In this case, the impairment is also recognised in other comprehensive income up to the amount of any previous revaluation.

For assets excluding goodwill, an assessment is made at each reporting date as to whether there is any indication that previously recognised impairment losses may no longer exist or may have decreased. If such indication exists, the Company estimates the asset's or cash-generating unit's recoverable amount. A previously recognised impairment loss is reversed only if there has been a change in the assumptions used to determine the asset's recoverable amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The reversal is limited so that the carrying amount of the asset does not exceed its recoverable amount, nor exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of depreciation, had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior years. Such reversal is recognised in profit or loss unless the asset is carried at a revalued amount, in which case the reversal is treated as a revaluation increase and is recognised through other comprehensive income.

(k) Trade payables:

Trade payables are non-interest bearing and are stated at their nominal value.

(l) Share-based payments:

The Company's share purchase option plan allows Company directors, officers, employees and service providers to acquire shares of the Company. The fair value of share purchase options granted to employees (which includes directors and officers and service providers that meet the definition of an employee) is recognized as an expense with a corresponding increase in equity. An individual is classified as an employee when the individual is an employee for legal or tax purposes (direct employee) or provides services similar to those performed by a direct employee.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

4. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued):

(l) Share-based payments (continued):

The fair value is measured at grant date and each tranche is recognized over the vesting period. The fair value of options granted is measured using the Black-Scholes option pricing model taking into account the terms and conditions upon which the options were granted. The amount recognized as an expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of share options that are expected to vest. For options granted to non-employees, the fair value of the services are measured at the date the services are rendered which could consist of multiple measurement dates.

(m) Income taxes:

Income tax expense comprises current and deferred tax. Current tax and deferred tax are recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to a business combination, or items recognized directly in equity or in other comprehensive income.

Current tax is the expected tax payable or receivable on the taxable income or loss for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years.

Deferred tax is recognized in respect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes. Deferred tax is not recognised for the following temporary differences: the initial recognition of assets or liabilities in a transaction that is not a business combination and that affects neither accounting nor taxable profit or loss, and differences relating to investments in subsidiaries and jointly controlled entities to the extent that it is probable that they will not reverse in the foreseeable future. In addition, deferred tax is not recognized for taxable temporary differences arising on the initial recognition of goodwill.

Deferred tax is measured at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to temporary differences when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset if there is a legally enforceable right to offset current tax liabilities and assets, and they relate to income taxes levied by the same tax authority on the same taxable entity, or on different tax entities, but they intend to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis or their tax assets and liabilities will be realized simultaneously.

A deferred tax asset is recognised for unused tax losses, tax credits and deductible temporary differences, to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which they can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized.

Royalties, resource rent taxes and revenue-based taxes are accounted for under IAS 12 when they have the characteristics of an income tax. This is considered to be the case when they are imposed under government authority and the amount payable is based on taxable income – rather than based on quantity produced or as a percentage of revenue – after adjustment for temporary differences. For such arrangements, current and deferred tax is provided on the same basis as described above for other forms of taxation. Obligations arising from royalty arrangements that do not satisfy these criteria are recognised as current provisions and included in cost of sales.

Revenues, expenses and assets are recognised net of the amount of sales tax except:

- Where the sales tax incurred on a purchase of assets or services is not recoverable from the taxation authority, in which case, the sales tax is recognised as part of the cost of acquisition of the asset or as part of the expense item as applicable
- Receivables and payables that are stated with the amount of sales tax included.

The net amount of sales tax recoverable from, or payable to, the taxation authority is included as part of receivables or payables in the statement of financial position.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

4. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued):

(n) Earnings (loss) per share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the reporting year. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed similar to basic earnings (loss) per share except that the weighted average shares outstanding are increased to include additional shares for the assumed exercise of stock options and warrants, if dilutive. The number of additional shares is calculated by assuming that outstanding stock options and warrants were exercised and that the proceeds from such exercises were used to acquire common stock at the average market price during the reporting periods.

(o) Comprehensive income (loss):

Comprehensive income (loss) is the change in the Company's net assets that results from transactions, events and circumstances from sources other than the Company's shareholders and includes items that would not normally be included in net profit such as unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale investments, gains or losses on certain derivative instruments and foreign currency gains or losses related to self-sustaining operations. The Company's comprehensive income (loss), components of other comprehensive income and cumulative translation adjustments are presented in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss) and the consolidated statements of changes in equity.

(p) Provisions:

Provisions are recognized when the Company has a present obligation (legal or constructive) that has arisen as a result of a past event and it is probably that a future outflow of resources will be required to settle the obligation, provided that a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.

Provisions are measured at the present value of the expenditures expect to be required to settle the obligation using a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risk specific to the obligation. The increase in the provision due to passage of time is recognized as interest expense.

(q) Warrants:

Share issuances during the year that include a warrant have been bifurcated into a share and warrant component for accounting purposes. The warrant component is recorded as a separate line item in equity and is reclassified to share capital when exercised.

5. Financial risk management:

The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for the establishment and oversight of the Company's risk management framework.

The Company's risk management policies are established to identify and analyze the risks faced by the Company, to set appropriate risk limits and controls, and to monitor risks and adherence to limits. Risk management policies and systems are reviewed regularly to reflect changes in market conditions and the Company's activities. The Company, through its training and management standards and procedures, aims to develop a disciplined and constructive control environment in which all employees understand their roles and obligations.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

5. Financial risk management (continued):

The Company has exposure to the following risks from its use of financial instruments:

- Credit risk
- Liquidity risk
- Interest rate risk
- Foreign currency risk
- Other price risk

This note presents information about the Company's exposure to each of the above risks, the Company's objectives, policies and processes for measuring and managing risk and the Company's management of capital. Further quantitative disclosures are included throughout these consolidated financial statements.

(i) Credit risk:

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss to the Company if a customer or counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations, and arises principally from the Company's receivables, and cash and cash equivalents. The cash and cash equivalents consist mainly of short-term money market deposits and are held with reputable financial institutions, from which management believes the risk of loss to be remote. The Company's financial assets are held in institutions rated by Moody's as A- or higher.

The Company's receivables consist of taxes receivable from the government of Canada and are considered collectible with no risk.

(ii) Liquidity risk:

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company's approach to liquidity risk is to ensure that it always has sufficient cash and credit facilities to meet its obligations when due, under both normal and stressed conditions, without incurring unacceptable losses or damage to the Company's reputation. Management typically forecasts cash flows for a period of three to six months to identify financing requirements. These requirements are then addressed primarily through access to capital markets.

All of the Company's financial liabilities mature within one year.

(iii) Interest rate risk:

Interest rate risk is the risk of financial loss to the Company if market rates of interest were to change adversely. The Company's exposure to interest rate risk is not material.

(iv) Foreign currency risk:

Foreign currency risk is the risk that the future cash flows or fair value of the Company's financial instruments that are denominated in a currency that is not the Company's functional currency will fluctuate due to the change in foreign exchange rate.

The functional currency of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary is the Canadian dollar. The financial risk is the risk to the Company's operations that arises from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and the degree of volatility of these rates. Currently, the Company does not use derivative instruments to reduce its exposure to foreign currency risk. The Company is exposed to fluctuations between the US and Canadian dollars as many of its trade payables are denominated in US dollars. The Company's exposure to fluctuation in the US and Canadian dollars is not material.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

5. Financial risk management (continued):

(v) Other price risk:

Other price risk is the risk that changes in market prices including commodity or equity prices will have an effect on future cash flows associated with financial instruments. The Company has no forward purchase or sale contracts negotiated at March 31, 2012.

(vi) Capital risk management:

The Company's capital management policy is to maintain a strong, but flexible capital structure that optimizes the cost of capital, creditor and market confidence while sustaining the future development of the business.

The Company manages its capital structure and makes adjustments to it in the light of changes in economic conditions. The Company's capital structure includes shareholders' equity of \$5,549,128. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may from time to time issue shares, seek additional debt financing and adjust its capital spending to manage current and projected debt levels.

The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements other than as noted above.

There were no changes to the Company's approach to capital management during the year ended March 31, 2012.

(vii) Summary of the carrying value of the Company's financial instruments:

The fair value of all financial instruments approximates their carrying value.

6. Cash and cash equivalents:

	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011	April 1, 2010
Bank balances	\$ 1,573,613	\$ 3,459,536	\$ 1,291,960
Short term deposits	517,249	17,250	-
	\$ 2,090,862	\$ 3,476,786	\$ 1,291,960

7. Equipment:

	Computers
Cost	
As at April 1, 2010	\$ -
Additions	4,185
As at March 31, 2011	4,185
Additions	15,122
As at March 31, 2012	\$ 19,307
Accumulated depreciation and impairment	
As at April 1, 2010	\$ -
Charge for the year	697
As at March 31, 2011	697
Charge for the year	3,346
As at March 31, 2012	\$ 4,043
Net book value	
As at April 1, 2010	\$ -
As at March 31, 2011	\$ 3,488
As at March 31, 2012	\$ 15,264

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

8. Exploration and evaluation assets:

	Red Hill Properties					Total
	Miranda Property	Barrick Property	Wilson Property	Wood Hills South Property	Half Ounce Property	
Balance April 1, 2010	\$ 177,834	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 53,623	\$ 18,132	\$ 249,589
Additions	385,857	58,609	119,886	271,975	21,977	858,304
Balance March 31, 2011	563,691	58,609	119,886	325,598	40,109	1,107,893
Additions	901,584	572,356	327,501	545,217	263	2,346,921
Dispositions	-	-	-	-	(40,372)	(40,372)
Balance March 31, 2012	\$1,465,275	\$630,965	\$447,387	\$870,815	\$ -	\$ 3,414,442

(a) Red Hill Properties

Eureka County, Nevada

Miranda Property

The Company has an exploration and joint venture agreement with Miranda Gold Corp. ("Miranda") to earn a 60% interest in this project by reimbursing Miranda for its 2009 – 2010 claim maintenance fees for the property in the amount of US\$11,000 cash (paid), issuing 200,000 common shares (issued), incurring a total of US\$200,000 in exploration expenditures before June 30, 2010 as a binding commitment (completed), and incurring a total US\$3,500,000 as follows:

Expenditure deadline	Expenditure commitment (\$US)	Total cumulative expenditure (US\$)
June 30, 2010	200,000 (spent)	200,000
December 31, 2010	300,000 (spent)	500,000
September 30, 2011	700,000 (spent)	1,200,000
September 30, 2012	1,050,000	2,250,000
September 30, 2013	1,400,000	3,650,000
September 30, 2014	1,850,000	5,500,000

The Company can elect to acquire a further 10% interest by preparing and bearing the costs of a feasibility study to be completed within 4 years, and incurring an additional US\$1,000,000 on exploration each year. If the feasibility study is not completed, the Company must incur exploration expenditures of US\$1,000,000 per year for 10 years from the date of the election in order to acquire the additional 10%. Thereafter, if either of the joint venture parties' interest falls below 10%, that party's interest reverts to a 0.5% NSR royalty.

Miranda (Coal Canyon) Option

On January 5, 2011 the Company signed an agreement that incorporates Miranda Gold Corp.'s two square mile Coal Canyon property in Eureka County, Nevada into the Red Hill Prospect. The principal terms of the option for the Coal Canyon require NuLegacy Gold to issue 50,000 common shares to Miranda and to spend an additional US\$1.5 million on either the Coal Canyon property or the previously-optioned Red Hill property. These expenditures are included in the table above and the Company is up to date with its commitments. Thus, NuLegacy can earn a 60% interest in both Miranda's Coal Canyon and Red Hill properties for a combined expenditure of US\$5.5 million over four years. NuLegacy can earn an additional 10% interest in the properties by completing a feasibility study.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

8. Mineral properties (continued):

Barrick Property

On September 16, 2010, the Company entered into an exploration agreement with a joint venture election and option to purchase from Barrick Gold Exploration Inc. ("Barrick") a 70% undivided interest in 818 unpatented mining claims in the Barrick Property located adjacent to the Miranda Property in Eureka County, Nevada, U.S.A. In order to exercise the option, the Company must incur a minimum of US\$5,000,000 in exploration or development expenditures on the Barrick Property (inclusive of maintenance fees) as follows:

<u>Expenditure deadline</u>	<u>Expenditure commitment (\$US)</u>	<u>Total cumulative expenditure (US\$)</u>
December 31, 2011	375,000 (spent)	375,000
December 31, 2012	875,000	1,250,000
December 31, 2013	1,125,000	2,375,000
December 31, 2014	1,125,000	3,500,000
December 31, 2015	1,500,000	5,000,000

If the Company completes the required US\$5,000,000 in expenditures and earns a 70% undivided interest in the property, Barrick will have a one-time option, exercisable within 90 days, to back into a 70% interest in the property. To complete the back in, Barrick must expend US\$15,000,000 over 5 years on the exploration and development of the property at a rate of at least US\$1,500,000 per year. If completed, the Company's remaining 30% interest in the property will be carried by Barrick until the commencement of commercial production on the property.

Upon completion of the Company's exploration expenditures of US\$5,000,000, the Company and Barrick shall form a joint venture for further exploration of the property. If Barrick does not elect to exercise the back in right or fails to complete the requirements, the Company will hold a 70% interest and Barrick will hold a 30% interest in the joint venture. If Barrick exercises the back in right and completes the requirements, the Company will hold a 30% interest and Barrick will hold a 70% interest in the joint venture.

Idaho Property

On October 18, 2010, the Company entered into a mining lease ("Lease") with Idaho Resources Corp. ("Idaho"), in which Idaho granted to the Company exclusive possession and control to explore, develop, mine and operate on the Idaho Property, which consists of 482 unpatented mining claims.

In order to maintain the Lease, the Company must make annual advance royalty payments of US\$25,000 (paid) and issue 100,000 common shares (issued) to Idaho in each of the first five years of the Lease. Annual cash payments will then increase to US\$50,000 for year six and every year thereafter. In addition, the Company must incur a total of US\$4,000,000 in exploration on the property during the first five years of the Lease as follows:

<u>Expenditure deadline</u>	<u>Expenditure commitment (\$US)</u>	<u>Aggregate amount (US\$)</u>
August 31, 2011	250,000 (paid)	250,000
December 31, 2012	500,000	750,000
December 31, 2013	1,250,000	2,000,000
December 31, 2014	1,000,000	3,000,000
December 31, 2015	1,000,000	4,000,000

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

8. Mineral properties (continued):

After an initial term of 10 years, the Lease will continue in full force and effect provided that the Company continues to maintain the property in good standing and make the requisite annual cash payments to Idaho. Upon commencement of commercial production, the annual cash payments will convert to an overriding royalty of 3% of the applicable royalty base on all gold, silver and other ores/metals from the property.

(b) Wood Hills South Property

Elko County, Nevada

The Company entered into an option agreement with Au-Ex, Inc. to earn a 70% interest in this prospect by paying US\$20,000 cash (paid), incurring a minimum US\$5,000,000 in exploration expenditures over seven years, and completing a feasibility study as per the following table:

<u>Expenditure deadline</u>	<u>Expenditure commitment (\$US)</u>	<u>Total cumulative expenditure (US\$)</u>
December 31, 2010	150,000 (spent)	150,000
December 31, 2011	250,000 (spent)	400,000
December 31, 2012	500,000	900,000
December 31, 2013	1,000,000	1,900,000
December 31, 2014	1,000,000	2,900,000
December 31, 2015	1,000,000	3,900,000
December 31, 2016	1,100,000	5,000,000

(c) Half Ounce Property

Eureka County, Nevada

The Company entered into an agreement to earn a 70% interest in this property by issuing 50,000 common shares (issued) and incurring US\$1,500,000 in exploration expenses within five years. During the current year, the Company terminated the agreement and all related deferred costs were written off.

9. Trade and other payables:

	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2011	April 1, 2010
Trade payables	\$ 243,060	\$ 58,683	\$ 137,385
Related party payables	-	21,304	-
	\$ 243,060	\$ 79,987	\$ 137,385

10. Related party transactions:

The Company's sole subsidiary is listed in the following table:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Country of Incorporation</u>	<u>% equity Interest</u>	
		As at December 31, 2011	As at March 31, 2011
NuLegacy Gold N.V.	United States	100%	100%

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

10. Related party transactions (continued):

The Company had the following balances and incurred the following expenses with related parties:

	As at March 31,		Years ended March 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Share based payments to key management	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 284,455	\$ 253,656
Accounting fees paid to a company controlled by an officer of the Company	-	-	42,000	10,500
Legal fees paid to a company controlled by an officer of the Company	-	13,635	99,124	130,592
Investor relations fees paid to a company in which an officer is a director	-	-	26,500	-
Fees payable to a director of the Company	-	5,429	154,312	126,877
Fees paid to a company controlled by a director*	-	2,240	155,500	125,250

* A total of \$75,569 (2011: \$46,339) was capitalized to exploration and evaluation assets during the year.

11. Share capital:

(a) Authorized:

Unlimited common shares without par value

(b) Share capital:

	Shares	Warrants	Broker warrants	Share capital - gross	Share issue costs	Share capital - net	Warrants
Balances, April 1, 2010	26,985,001	-	-	\$1,760,626	\$ -	\$ 1,760,626	\$ -
Issued							
Mineral properties (i)	100,000	-	-	22,250	-	22,250	-
Private placements (ii)	6,890,500	6,890,500	270,000	1,384,808	95,601	1,289,207	556,966
Special warrants (iii)	5,573,750	5,573,750	-	724,646	6,000	718,646	390,104
Initial public offering (iv)	4,800,000	4,600,000	460,000	1,029,569	399,298	630,271	232,541
Warrants issued (v)	-	250,000	-	(53,936)	-	(53,936)	53,936
Balances, March 31, 2011	44,349,251	17,314,250	730,000	\$4,867,963	\$500,899	\$ 4,367,064	\$1,233,547
Issued							
Mineral properties (i)	100,000	-	-	20,000	-	20,000	-
Private placements (vi)	12,500,000	12,500,000	284,560	589,667	82,773	506,894	1,285,333
Balances, March 31, 2012	56,949,251	29,814,250	1,014,560	\$5,477,630	\$583,672	\$ 4,893,958	\$2,518,880

(i) In May 2010, the Company issued 50,000 common shares at \$0.125 per share for the acquisition of the Half Ounce property for a value of \$6,250.

In March 2011, the Company issued 50,000 common shares at \$0.32 for the acquisition of the Coal Canyon property for a value of \$16,000.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

11. Share capital (continued):

(b) Share capital (continued):

In August 2011, the Company issued 100,000 common shares at \$0.20 for the acquisition of the Idaho property for a value of \$20,000.

- (ii) In July 2010, the Company completed a private placement of 1,520,000 units at \$0.20 per unit for total proceeds of \$304,000. Each unit consisted of one common share and one share purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share at \$0.30 per share until December 9, 2011. The relative fair value of the share purchase warrants issued was \$115,692.

In March 2011, the Company completed a private placement of 4,370,500 units at \$0.30 per unit for total proceeds of \$1,311,150. Each unit consisted of one common share and one share purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share at \$0.40 until March 10, 2012 and at \$0.55 until September 10, 2012. In addition the Company issued 270,000 warrants to agents entitling the holders to purchase one additional common share at \$0.30 per share until September 10, 2012. The relative fair value of the share purchase warrants issued was \$358,893.

In March 2011, the Company completed a private placement of 1,000,000 units at \$0.30 per unit for total proceeds of \$300,000. Each unit consisted of one common share and one share purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share at \$0.40 until March 17, 2012 and at \$0.55 until September 17, 2012. The relative fair value of the warrants issued was \$82,381.

The Company incurred a total of \$95,601 in relation to these transactions, of which \$68,977 was fees and \$26,624 was the fair value of agent warrants granted.

- (iii) In November 2010, the Company issued 5,573,750 special warrants at \$0.20 per warrant for total proceeds of \$1,114,750, with each warrant convertible upon the Company's initial public offering into one common share and one additional share purchase warrant at no additional cost. Upon the Company's initial public offering, all special warrants were exercised resulting in the issuance of 5,573,500 common shares and 5,573,500 share purchase warrants, with each warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share at \$0.30 per share until December 9, 2011. The relative fair value of the warrants issued was \$390,104. The Company incurred \$6,000 of fees in relation to this transaction.

- (iv) On November 12, 2010 the Company filed its final prospectus with the British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario Securities Commissions, and the Yukon Government, offering 4,600,000 common shares at \$0.25 per shares for total proceeds of \$1,150,000 and 4,600,000 share purchase warrants entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share at \$0.35 per share until December 9, 2011. In addition the Company issued 200,000 common shares at \$0.25 as a finder's fee and 460,000 share purchase warrants to agents entitling the holders to purchase one additional common share at \$0.25 per share until June 9, 2012. The relative fair value of the share purchase warrants issued was \$232,541. The Company incurred \$399,298 in relation to this transaction, of which \$337,188 was for fees and \$62,110 was the fair value of agent warrants granted.

Assumptions applied to determine the fair value of warrants granted in 2011 were: risk-free interest rate ranging between 1.21% - 1.70%; no expected dividends; expected stock price volatility 119%; expected life ranging from 1-5 years; weighted average fair value of warrants granted was CAD \$0.10.

- (v) Upon the successful listing of the Company's shares on December 9, 2010, the Company issued 250,000 share purchase warrants entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share at \$0.25 per share until December 9, 2015. The fair value of the warrants issued was \$53,936.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

11. Share capital (continued):

(b) Share capital (continued):

(vi) On December 5, 2011, the Company completed an initial closing of a non-brokered private placement for a total of 6,932,500 units (the "Units") at a price of \$0.15 per Unit for gross proceeds of \$1,039,875. Each Unit consisted of one common share and one share purchase warrant, each warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company for a period of 18 months at a price of \$0.25 during the first 12 months and \$0.35 during the last 6 months. A finder's fee of 8% cash and a total of 16,560 non-transferable finder's warrants was paid to certain registered dealers on a portion of the private placement. Each finder's warrant will entitle the holder to purchase one common share of the Company for a period of 18 months at a price of \$0.15.

On December 23, 2011, the Company completed a second closing of its non-brokered private placement. The Company sold an additional 4,125,266 units (the "Units") at price of \$0.15 per Unit for gross proceeds of \$618,790. Each Unit consisted of one common share and one share purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase an additional common share for a period of 18 months at a price of \$0.25 during the first 12 months and \$0.35 during the last 6 months. A finder's fee of \$40,200 (8%) and 268,000 non-transferable finder's warrants was paid to an arm's length dealer in respect of a portion of the Units sold under the second closing. Each finder's warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company for a period of 18 months at a price of \$0.15.

(vii) On January 26, 2012, the Company completed an initial closing of a non-brokered private placement for a total of 313,636 units (the "Units") at a price of \$0.15 per Unit for gross proceeds of \$47,045. Each Unit consisted of one common share and one share purchase warrant, each warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company for a period of 18 months at a price of \$0.25 during the first 12 months and \$0.35 during the last 6 months.

On February 3, 2012, the Company completed a second closing of its non-brokered private placement. The Company sold an additional 1,128,598 units (the "Units") at price of \$0.15 per Unit for gross proceeds of \$169,290. Each Unit consisted of one common share and one share purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase an additional common share for a period of 18 months at a price of \$0.25 during the first 12 months and \$0.35 during the last 6 months.

(c) Escrow shares:

The Company has escrowed 6,990,001 of the issued shares of which 10% have been released for trade upon listing of the Company's shares with the balance being released over 3 years at 15% of the escrowed shares every six months. At March 31, 2012 there are 4,194,000 remaining escrowed shares.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

11. Share capital (continued):

(d) Warrants:

A summary of the status of the Plan as of March 31, 2012, and changes during the two year period ended on that date is as follows:

	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price in Canadian dollars
Balance, April 1, 2010	-	\$ -
Granted *	5,370,500	0.55
Granted	7,363,750	0.30
Granted	4,600,000	0.35
Granted	710,000	0.25
Balance, March 31, 2011	18,044,250	\$ 0.34
Granted **	12,500,000	0.25
Granted	284,560	0.15
Expired	(11,693,750)	0.32
Balance, March 31, 2012	19,135,060	\$ 0.29

* Each warrant entitled the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.40 in the first 12 months and \$0.55 in the last 6 months.

** Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.25 in the first 12 months and \$0.35 in the last 6 months.

All warrants are shown at their current outstanding exercise price, if applicable.

The following share purchase warrants were outstanding as at March 31, 2012:

Expiry date	Number of warrants	Weighted average exercise price
June 9, 2012	460,000	\$ 0.25
June 23, 2013 *	4,125,266	\$ 0.25
September 10, 2012	4,640,500	\$ 0.39
September 17, 2012	1,000,000	\$ 0.40
July 26, 2013 *	313,636	\$ 0.25
August 3, 2013 *	1,128,598	\$ 0.25
June 5, 2013 *	6,932,500	\$ 0.25
June 5, 2013	16,550	\$ 0.15
June 23, 2013	268,000	\$ 0.15
December 9, 2015	250,000	\$ 0.25
	19,135,060	\$ 0.29

* Last six-months of term, strike price increases to \$0.35

(e) Stock options:

At March 31, 2012, the Company had a fixed stock-based compensation plan (the Plan) providing for the grant of stock options to purchase a maximum of 7,400,000 Common Shares to eligible recipients.

The exercise price of each option may be set equal to or greater than the closing market price of the common shares on the TSX Venture Exchange on the day prior to the date of the grant of the option, less any allowable discount from market. Options have a maximum term of ten years and must terminate within a reasonable period of time as fixed by the directors (not to exceed one year) following the termination of the optionee's employment.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

11. Share capital (continued):

(e) Stock options (continued):

A summary of the status of the Plan as of March 31, 2012, and changes during the two year period ended on that date is as follows:

	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price in Canadian dollars
Balance, April 1, 2010	-	\$ -
Granted	4,925,000	0.25
Granted	350,000	0.32
Granted	250,000	0.31
Balance, March 31, 2011	5,525,000	\$ 0.26
Granted	200,000	0.29
Granted	675,000	0.25
Granted	150,000	0.15
Granted	925,000	0.20
Options amended	(182,500)	0.27
Forfeited	(250,000)	0.28
Balance March 31, 2012	7,042,500	\$ 0.25

The following table summarizes information about the options outstanding at March 31, 2012:

Options outstanding	Options exercisable	Exercise price	Remaining contractual life (years)	Expiry date
4,650,000	3,418,750	\$ 0.25	3.7	2015/12/09
250,000	100,000	\$ 0.32	3.8	2016/01/06
250,000	100,000	\$ 0.31	3.9	2016/03/01
500,000	375,000	\$ 0.25	4.2	2016/06/23
175,000	62,500	\$ 0.16	4.4	2016/09/01
250,000	62,500	\$ 0.20	4.5	2016/10/01
125,000	25,000	\$ 0.20	4.6	2016/11/14
150,000	75,000	\$ 0.20	2.6	2014/11/14
300,000	75,000	\$ 0.20	4.7	2016/12/09
100,000	-	\$ 0.20	4.8	2017/01/01
150,000	30,000	\$ 0.25	4.9	2017/02/06
62,500	62,500	\$ 0.25	0.2	2012/06/30
30,000	30,000	\$ 0.25	0.8	2013/01/01
50,000	40,000	\$ 0.32	1.7	2013/11/30
7,042,500	4,456,250	\$ 0.25	3.8	

The fair value of each option granted is estimated at the time of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with weighted average assumptions for grants as follows:

	Years ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Risk-free interest rate	1.57%	1.67%
Expected life	4.6	5.0
Annualized volatility	114.73	111.20
Dividend rate	-	-
Grant date fair value	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.16

(f) Loss per share:

The effect of dilutive securities including options and warrants has not been shown as the effect of all such securities is anti-dilutive.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

12. Segmented information:

(a) Operating segments:

The Company operated in one operating segment, which is mineral exploration in the United States.

(b) Geographic segments:

The Company operates in two geographic segments, Canada and the United States.

13. Financial instruments:

Fair values

The Group has the following financial instruments carried at fair value:

Financial Assets	Financial instrument classification	Fair Value	
		March 31 2012	March 31 2011
Cash and cash equivalents	Fair value through profit and loss	\$ 2,090,862	\$ 3,476,786
Acapulco Gold	Available-for-Sale	\$ 15,000	\$ -
		<u>\$ 15,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

All of financial assets classified as available-for sale were designated as such on initial recognition. Unrealized gains or losses are recorded in other comprehensive income.

Fair value hierarchy

The Group uses the following hierarchy for determining and disclosing the fair value of financial instruments which are measured at fair value by valuation technique:

Level 1: Quoted (unadjusted) prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Other techniques for which all inputs have a significant effect on the recorded fair value that are not observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3: Techniques which use inputs which have a significant effect on the recorded fair value that are not based on observable market data.

All financial instruments measured at fair value use level 1 valuation techniques in each period, being the closing bid price of the shares as quoted on a public exchange, or, where not quoted, as determined by the share of fair values of the underlying net assets of the investee.

The following table summarizes the classification of the Company's marketable securities within the fair value hierarchy at March 31, 2012:

	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,090,862	\$ -	\$ -	\$2,090,862
Private securities	-	15,000	-	15,000
	<u>\$ 2,090,862</u>	<u>\$ 15,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$2,105,862</u>

There were no other transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy during the period. There were no marketable securities on hand at March 31, 2011.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

13. Financial instruments (continued):

Fair value hierarchy (continued)

The following table summarizes the classification of the Company's marketable securities within the fair value hierarchy at March 31, 2011:

	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,476,786	\$ -	\$ -	\$3,476,786
	\$ 3,476,786	\$ -	\$ -	\$3,476,786

The aggregate fair value of investments with unrealized losses and the aggregate amount of the unrealized losses are:

Unrealized Loss Position for less than 12 months	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
March 31, 2012 – Public securities	\$ -	\$ -
March 31, 2011 – Public securities	\$ -	\$ -

There are no investment positions in an unrealized loss position. All are publicly traded securities.

14. Income taxes:

The Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities are:

	2012	March 31 2011
Deferred tax assets (liabilities)		
Other assets	\$ 71,879	\$ 72,433
Equipment	1,011	174
Tax loss carry-forwards	512,500	241,500
	585,390	314,107
Valuation allowance	(585,390)	(314,107)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ -	\$ -

A reconciliation of the provision for income taxes is as follows:

	2012	Year ended March 31 2011
Loss before income taxes	\$ (1,771,825)	\$ (1,217,577)
Combined Canadian and provincial statutory tax rates	26.13%	28.0%
Recovery of income taxes based on combined statutory tax rates	(462,889)	(340,922)
Non-deductible expenses	178,359	143,459
Unrecognized benefit of non-capital losses	284,530	197,463
Income tax (expense) recovery	\$ -	\$ -

At March 31, 2012, the Company has unrecognized losses for income tax purposes of approximately \$2,050,000 which may be used to offset taxable incomes of future years. If unused, these losses will expire as follows:

2030	\$ 280,000
2031	686,000
2032	1,084,000
	\$ 2,050,000

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

14. Income taxes (continued):

In assessing the Company's ability to utilize deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is probable that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences are or become deductible or during the periods before expiry of the loss carry forwards. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which tax assets are deductible, management currently believes it is probable that the Company will not realize the benefits of the deferred tax assets.

15. Subsequent events:

On June 18, 2012, the Company announced a non-brokered private placement of up to 3.5 million units ("Units") at a price of \$0.20 per Unit to raise up to \$700,000. Each Unit consists of one common share and one share purchase warrant, each warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company for a period of 24 months at a price of \$0.30 during the first 12 months and \$0.45 during the last 12 months. On June 25, 2012, the Company closed the first tranche of this placement, selling a total of 2.2 million units of the 3.5 million offered for gross proceeds of \$440,000. All securities issued under the initial closing are subject to a four month and one day hold period expiring on October 26, 2012.

On June 9, 2012, a total of 460,000 share purchase warrants to purchase common shares at a price of \$0.25 expired unexercised.

16. Transition to International Financial Reporting Standards

As stated in Note 2, these Consolidated Financial Statements are for the period covered by the Company's first annual consolidated financial statements to be prepared in accordance with IFRS.

The accounting policies in Note 4 have been applied in preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended March 31, 2012, the comparative information for the year ended March 31, 2011 and the preparation of the opening IFRS statement of financial position on April 1, 2010, the "Transition Date".

In preparing the opening IFRS statement of financial position and the financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company has adjusted amounts reported previously in financial statements that were prepared in accordance with GAAP.

An explanation of how the transition from GAAP to IFRS has affected the Company's financial position, financial performance and cash flows is set out in the following tables.

The guidance for the first time adoption of IFRS is set out in IFRS 1. IFRS 1 provides for certain mandatory exceptions and optional exemptions for first time adopters of IFRS. The Company elected the following IFRS 1 optional exemptions:

- IFRS 2 Stock-based compensation. The Company will not elect to apply IFRS 2 to graded and vested share-based transactions prior to transition.
- IFRS 3 Business Combinations has not been applied to acquisitions of subsidiaries or of interests in associates and joint ventures that occurred before April 1, 2010.
- The Company has applied the transitional provision in IFRIC 4 Determining whether an Arrangement contains a lease and has assessed all arrangements as at the date of transition.
- The Group has elected to apply the exemption from full retrospective application of decommissioning provisions as allowed under IFRS 1. As such, the Group has re-measured the provisions as at April 1, 2010 under IAS 37 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, and estimated the amount to be included in operations by discounting the liability to the date at which the liability first arose. The Group did this using best estimates of the historical risk-adjusted discount rates.
- The Group has elected to apply the exemption to classify its investments as available-for-sale at April 1, 2010.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

16. Transition to International Financial Reporting Standards (continued):

Reconciliation of Assets, Liabilities & Equity:

	As at April 1, 2010			As at March 31, 2011		
	GAAP	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS	GAAP	Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS
Assets						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,291,960	\$ -	\$ 1,291,960	\$ 3,476,786	\$ -	\$ 3,476,786
Receivables	7,969	-	7,969	44,804	-	44,804
Prepaid expenses and deposits	-	-	-	84,574	-	84,574
	1,299,929	-	1,299,929	3,606,164	-	3,606,164
Non-current assets:						
Equipment	-	-	-	3,488	-	3,488
Mineral properties	249,589	-	249,589	1,497,151	(389,258)	1,107,893
Other assets	40,000	-	40,000	-	-	-
	\$ 1,589,518	\$ -	\$ 1,589,518	\$ 5,106,803	\$ (389,258)	\$ 4,717,545
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity						
Current liabilities:						
Trade and other payables	\$ 137,385	\$ -	\$ 137,385	\$ 79,987	\$ -	\$ 79,987
	137,385	-	137,385	79,987	-	79,987
Non-current liabilities:						
Deferred tax liability	-	-	-	389,258	(389,258)	-
Shareholders' equity:						
Share capital	1,760,626	-	1,760,626	4,367,064	-	4,367,064
Warrants	-	-	-	1,233,547	-	1,233,547
Share option reserve	-	-	-	419,333	143,684	563,017
Accumulated deficit	(308,493)	-	(308,493)	(1,382,386)	(143,684)	(1,526,070)
	1,452,133	-	1,452,133	4,637,558	-	4,637,558
	\$ 1,589,518	\$ -	\$ 1,589,518	\$ 5,106,803	\$ (389,258)	\$ 4,717,545

Share based payments

Under GAAP, the Company measured share-based compensation related to share purchase options at fair value of the share purchase options granted using the Black-Scholes option pricing formula and recognized this expense over the vesting period of the options. For the purpose of accounting for share-based payment transactions an individual is classified as an employee when the individual is consistently represented to be an employee under law. The fair value of the share purchase options granted to employees is measured on the date of grant. The fair value of share purchase options granted to contractors and consultants are measured on the date the services are completed. Forfeitures are recognized as they occur.

NULEGACY GOLD CORPORATION

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

For the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

16. Transition to International Financial Reporting Standards (continued):

IFRS 2, similar to GAAP, requires the Company to measure share-based compensation related to share purchase options granted to employees at the fair value of the share purchase options on the date of grant and to recognize such expense over the vesting period of the options. However, each tranche of an award with different vesting dates is considered a separate grant for the calculation of fair value, and the resulting fair value is amortized over the vesting period of the respective tranches. The amount recognized as an expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of share options that are expected to vest. In addition, for share purchase options granted to non-employees, IFRS requires that share-based compensation be measured at the fair value of the services received unless the fair value cannot be reliably measured.

For the purpose of accounting for share based payment transactions an individual is classified as an employee when the individual is an employee for legal or tax purposes (direct employee) or provides services similar to those performed by a direct employee. This definition of an employee is broader than that previously applied by the Company and resulted in certain contractors and consultants being classified as employees under IFRS.

For the share purchase options granted to individuals reclassified, changes in fair value after the grant date previously recognized for GAAP purposes have been adjusted.

Deferred tax liability

Under Canadian GAAP deferred tax liabilities were calculated following the acquisition of various mineral property assets. IFRS does not allow the recognition of deferred tax liabilities for temporary differences that arise in a transaction other than a business combination that at the time of the transaction affects neither the taxable accounting profit or loss. As a result, deferred tax liabilities recognised on asset acquisitions under Canadian GAAP have been derecognised under IFRS and netted against the corresponding deferred tax assets.

Effect on Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Loss

As a result of the transition to IFRS, comprehensive loss for the year ended March 31, 2011 increases from \$1,073,893 to \$1,217,577 as a result of an increase in share based payments by \$143,684 as described under “*Share based payments*” above.

Presentation

As a result of the transition to IFRS, the Company decided to present its statement of comprehensive loss by nature and split its general and administrative expenses by nature of costs.

The transition to IFRS resulted in no significant changes in the presentation of the Company's cash flows.